

Published on Web 10/12/2007

Total Synthesis of (±)-Phomactin B2 via an Intramolecular Cyclohexadienone Annulation of a Chromium Carbene Complex

Jie Huang, Chunrui Wu, and William D. Wulff*

Department of Chemistry, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824 Received June 12, 2007; E-mail: wulff@chemistry.msu.edu

Phomactins are novel platelet activating factor (PAF) antagonists isolated from the culture of marine fungus *Phoma* sp.¹ Members of the phomactin family share a unique [9.3.1] pentadecane ring system, and their biosynthetic pathway shares a branchpoint with the taxane family of natural products.¹² Substantial synthetic efforts have been described in the literature toward the synthesis of several phomactins,³ but of the 11 known members of the phomactin family, total syntheses have only been reported for phomactins A, D, and G.⁴ The nexus to all of the published retrosynthetic plans, realized or not, is the construction of the six-membered ring prior to the 12-membered ring. We report here a different approach to the phomactins that involves the simultaneous assembly of both the six- and 12-membered rings via an intramolecular cyclohexadienone annulation of a chromium carbene complex and which is rendered to practice in a total synthesis of (±)-phomactin B2.

The retrosynthetic plan for the synthesis of phomactin B2 presented in Scheme 1 targets the cyclohexadienone 2 as a key intermediate. Access to 2 was envisioned to be possible from the thermolysis of carbene complex 3 which should initiate loss of a carbon monoxide ligand and subsequently an intramolecular reaction of the carbene complex with the alkyne function to generate a cyclohexadienone. The carbene complex 3 was in turn envisioned to be preparable from geraniol.

The cyclohexadienone annulation of chiral progaryl ether 6 is known to generate significant 1,4-asymmetric induction in favor of the diastereomer 7 (Scheme 2).⁵ We have recently reported the first examples of an intramolecular cyclohexadienone annulation with the finding that moderate to good yields of 9 can be obtained when the number of methylenes in the tether of carbene complex 8 is eight or greater.³ Since there are nine carbons in the macrocyclic bridge in the phomactins, the propitious model studies with 8 set the stage for the enactment of the strategy in Scheme 1.

The first stage of the synthesis involves the preparation of the carbene complex 3 which begins with geraniol and its conversion to the known bromide 10 in four steps in 49% overall yield. Three carbons were then introduced via coupling with 1-trimethylsilyl propargyl lithium, which after deprotection gives 11 in 71% yield (Scheme 3). The *E*-vinyl iodide in intermediate **12** was then installed by a Negishi carbometalation.⁷ The control of this stereochemistry is important since the model studies with carbene complex 8 reveal that the E-isomers are much more efficient than their Z-counterparts.³ The terminal alkyne unit is readily installed via oxidation of the allylic alcohol 12 and then reaction with ethynyl Grignard. The resulting alcohol is protected in two forms such that carbene complexes 3a and 3b can both be evaluated. The carbene complexes are prepared by the Fischer method,8 but the generation of a dianion from 14 can be problematic. To prevent metal/halogen exchange prior to deprotonation of the alkyne, phenyllithium is used as base prior to the addition of n-BuLi. Carbene complex 3a could be obtained in reproducible yields of 50% over a range of scales if the chromium carbonyl and alkynyl iodide 14 were mixed prior to the addition of phenyllithium. If the dianion is generated and then

4 Geraniol

Scheme 3 1) LiCH₂C≡CTMS 2) TBAF 10 11 3) i.ZrCp2Cl2, $AlMe_3$ ii. iodine 4) DMP 5) BrMgC≡CH 13 91% 12 65% 6) TIPSCI, DMAP MOMCl, i-Pr2EtN 7) i. Cr(CO)₆, THF, -78 °C ii. PhLi, -78 °C 3a 51% P = TIPS 3b 43% P = MOM iii. n-BuLi, -78 °C iv. Me₃OBF₄, 25 °C CH2Cl2/H2O 14a 100% P = TIPS

reacted with Cr(CO)₆, the yields of **3a** are unpredictable and range from 0 to 50%.

The intramolecular cyclohexadienone annulation of complex 3a gave a mixture of the diastereomers 2a and 15a in a ratio that was

Table 1. Thermolysis of Carbene Complexes 3a and 3b

carbene complex	Р	temp (°C)	time (h)	yield (2 + 15)	2:15
3a	TIPS	60	40	60-66	3-4:1
3a	TIPS	80	10	47 - 65	2 - 3:1
3a	TIPS	100	2	63	2:1
3b	MOM	80	12	26	1:1

temperature-dependent (Table 1). The highest diastereomeric ratio of 4:1 was observed at 60 °C, and while this is on the low side observed for intermolecular reactions,⁵ it is slightly higher than we have observed for intramolecular reactions in model systems.³ The relative configuration was determined by an X-ray diffraction study on the more crystalline isomer 15a.

The completion of the synthesis of phomactin B2 from 2a begins with the installation of the exo-cyclic double bond. It was anticipated that the quaternary carbon adjacent to the carbonyl group in 2a may substantially reduce its reactivity, and accordingly, it was found not to react with trimethylsilyl methyllithium after 48 h at room temperature. Heating leads to decomposition. Surprisingly, the diastereomer 15a reacts in 15 min under the same conditions to give the 1,2-adduct in high yield. A possible explanation comes from the X-ray structures of 15a and alcohol 2c (2a is not crystalline). The conformation about the C-C bond connecting the propargyl ether carbon with the six-membered ring has the methine hydrogen of the propargyl carbon anti to the carbonyl in 15a and syn to the carbonyl in 2c (and thus presumably in 2a).

Thus, the difference in reactivity between 2a and 15a may be related to the degree to which the TIPS group interferes with the approach of the nucleophile along the Burgi Dunitz trajectory (Scheme 4). If this is true, then replacing the TIPS group in 2a with a MOM group should lead to a chelation-assisted approach of TMSCH₂Li to the carbonyl. Indeed, **2b** readily yielded to the Peterson protocol, giving 16 after hydrolysis of the enol ether (Scheme 5). The alternative to changing the protecting group in 2a is the direct generation of 2b from the carbene complex 3b, but this is not viable since there is a complete loss in stereoselectivity and a dramatic drop in yield (Table 1). The methylation of the enolate of 16 gives a single diastereomer with the methyl group anti to the macrocyclic tether as expected. The MOM protecting group proved to be a two-edged sword since it interfered with the subsequent 1,2-reduction of the ketone, giving substantial contamination with a 1,6-reduction product. The remedy was the reinstallation of the TIPS group, which then allowed clean reduction to 17 as an inseparable 2:1 mixture of isomers. The hydroxyl group in 17 was protected as an acetate in an effort to electronically quell

Scheme 4

TMSCH₂Li

TMSCH₂Li

O

OP

OH(TIPS)

OH(TIPS)

OH

C

conformation in 15a

$$(83\%)$$

TBAF

 (83%)

TBAF

 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)
 (88%)

Scheme 5

competing epoxidation of the wrong allylic alcohol. The acetates could be separated and were epoxidized9 independently. The epoxide from 19 was oxidized to a ketone and hydrolyzed to give phomactin B2. The same transformations on the epoxide 21 give keto alcohol 22. The fact that 22 can be converted to phomactin B2 by a Mitsunobu inversion reveals that the face selectivity of the epoxidation is independent of the acetate configuration and it increases the convergency of the synthesis since both 18 and 19 can be taken to product.

We are currently attempting to define an asymmetric approach based on this strategy and to apply the general strategy described here to the synthesis of other members of the phomactin family.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant from the NIH (GM 33589).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, physical characterization data, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds, and X-ray data and CIF files for 2c and 15a. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) For a review of the phomactins, see: Goldring, W. P. D.; Pattenden, G. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, *39*, 354–361.
- (a) Tokiwano, T.; Fukushi, E.; Endo, T.; Oikawa, H. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 1324–1325. (b) Tokiwano, T.; Endo, T.; Tsukagoshi, T.; Goto, H.; Fukushi, E.; Oikawa, H. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 2713-Chow, S. Y.; Williams, H. J.; Pennington, J. D.; Nanda, S.; Reibenspies, J. H.; Scott, A. I. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 6204-6209.
- (3) For leading citations, see: Huang, J.; Wang, H.; Wu, C.; Wulff, W. D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2799–2802.
- For the total syntheses of phomactins, see: phomactin D: (a) Miyaoka, H.; Saka, Y.; Miura, S.; Yamada, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7107-11., 3aa, 1., 101. **2003**, *125*, 1712–1713. (d) Diaper, C. M.; Goldring, W. P. D.; Pattenden, G. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, 3949–3956. Phomactin G: (e) Goldring,
- W. P. D.; Pattenden, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2004, 466–473.
 (5) Hsung, R. P.; Quinn, J. F.; Weisenberg, B. A.; Wulff, W. D.; Yap, G. P. A.; Rheingold, A. L. Chem. Commun. 1997, 615–616.
 (6) Armstrong, R.; Weiler, L. Can. J. Chem. 1983, 61, 2530–2539.
- Negishi, E.; Horn, D. E.; Yoshida, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 6639-(7)
- Aumann, R.; Fischer, E. O. Chem. Ber. 1968, 101, 954-962.
- (9) Hirao, T. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2707-2724.

JA074275R