

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = \Omega |\Phi\rangle$$

$$\Omega = P + Q_k W P$$

$$= P + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R^{(0)} W')^n R^{(0)} W P$$

$$k_0 = \epsilon_0 + \langle \Phi | \tau | \Phi \rangle$$

$$\tau = P W \Omega$$

$$= P W P + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P W (R^{(0)} W')^n R^{(0)} W P$$

$$W' = W - (k_0 - \epsilon_0)$$

$$k_0 = \epsilon_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_0^{(m)}$$

$$W' = W - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_0^{(m)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle$$

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (R^{(0)} W')^n R^{(0)} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

0th order
at least 1st order

$$k_0 = \epsilon_0 + \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

0th order
1st order

$$+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \Phi_0 | W (R^{(0)} W')^n R^{(0)} W | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$W' = W - (k_0 - \epsilon_0)$$

at least 1st order

at least 2nd order

$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} k_0^{(m)}$

0th order:

$$|\Psi_0^{(0)}\rangle = |\Phi_0\rangle \quad (\Omega^{(0)} = P)$$

$$k_0^{(0)} = \epsilon_0 \quad (\tau^{(0)} = 0)$$

1st order:

$$|\Psi_0^{(1)}\rangle = R^{(0)} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$k_0^{(1)} = \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$(\Omega^{(1)} = R^{(0)} W P, \tau^{(1)} = P W P)$$

2nd order:

$n=1$

$$|\Psi_0^{(2)}\rangle = R^{(0)}(W - K_0^{(1)})R^{(0)}W|\Phi\rangle$$

$$= (R^{(0)}W)^2|\Phi\rangle$$

$$- \langle\Phi|W|\Phi\rangle R^{(0)2}W|\Phi\rangle$$

$$K_0^{(2)} = \langle\Phi|WR^{(0)}W|\Phi\rangle$$

$n=0$

$$(-\Omega^{(2)} = R^{(0)}(W - K_0^{(1)})R^{(0)}WP,$$

$$\tau^{(2)} = PWR^{(0)}WP)$$

3rd order:

$$|\Psi_0^{(3)}\rangle = [R^{(0)}(W - K_0^{(0)})]^2 R^{(0)} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$- K_0^{(2)} R^{(0)2} W |\Phi_0\rangle \leftarrow n=1$$

$$= (R^{(0)} W)^3 |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$- \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle (R^{(0)2} W R^{(0)} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$+ R^{(0)} W R^{(0)2} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$+ \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle^2 R^{(0)3} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$- \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)} W | \Phi_0 \rangle R^{(0)2} W |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$K_0^{(3)} = \langle \Phi | W R^{(0)} (W - K_0^{(1)}) R^{(0)} W | \Phi \rangle$$

$n=1$

$$= \langle \Phi | W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W | \Phi \rangle$$

$$- \langle \Phi | W | \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi | W R^{(0)2} W | \Phi \rangle$$

4th order: $n=2$

$$K_0^{(4)} = \langle \Phi | W [R^{(0)} (W - K_0^{(1)})]^2 R^{(0)} W | \Phi \rangle$$

$$- K_0^{(2)} \langle \Phi | W R^{(0)2} W | \Phi \rangle$$

$n=1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
&- \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle \left(\langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)2} W | \Phi_0 \rangle \right. \\
&+ \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)2} W | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
&+ \langle \Phi_0 | W | \Phi_0 \rangle^2 \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)3} W | \Phi_0 \rangle \\
&- \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)} W | \Phi_0 \rangle \langle \Phi_0 | W R^{(0)2} W | \Phi_0 \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$|\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle = (R^{(0)} W)^n |\Phi_0\rangle$$

+ renormalization terms

$$k_0^{(n+1)} = \langle \Phi | W (R^{(0)} W)^n | \Phi \rangle$$

+ renormalization terms

$$k_0^{(n+1)} = \langle \Phi | W | \Psi_0^{(n)} \rangle$$

Explanation:

$$\chi^{(n+1)} = P W \Omega^{(n)}$$

$$k_0^{(n+1)} = \langle \Phi | \chi^{(n+1)} | \Phi \rangle$$

$$= \langle \Phi | W \underbrace{\Omega^{(n)}}_{|\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle} | \Phi \rangle$$

We can obtain more explicit formulas by using

$$R^{(0)} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n \times \Phi_n|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

Proof:

$$R^{(0)} |\Phi\rangle = |\Phi\rangle \quad \checkmark$$

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\Phi_n\rangle,$$

$$c_n = \langle \Phi_n | \Phi \rangle \quad \checkmark$$

$$|\tilde{\Phi}\rangle = (P+Q)|\Phi\rangle$$

$$= PR^{(0)}|\Phi\rangle + QR^{(0)}|\Phi\rangle$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \{ \\ = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} |\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n | R^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle$$

$$c_0 = 0, c_n = \langle \Phi_n | R^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle$$

$$Q|\tilde{\Phi}\rangle = |\tilde{\Phi}\rangle$$

$$Q(x_0 - k_0) / R^{(0)} |\Phi\rangle = |\Phi\rangle$$

$$\underline{Q(x_0 - k_0) R^{(0)} |\Phi\rangle}$$

$$= Q(x_0 - k_0) |\Phi\rangle$$

$$\downarrow Q$$

$$Q |\Phi\rangle =$$

$$\langle \Phi_n | k_0 = x_n \langle \Phi_n |$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} |\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n | (x_0 - k_0) |\Phi\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} (x_0 - x_n) |\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n | \overset{= c_n}{|\Phi\rangle}$$

$$\sum_{n \neq 0} \cancel{|\Phi_n\rangle} \langle \cancel{\Phi_n} | \Phi \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} (\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n) c_n \cancel{|\Phi_n\rangle}$$

$$\langle \Phi_n | \Phi \rangle = c_n (\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n)$$

$$c_n = \frac{\langle \Phi_n | \Phi \rangle}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

$$R^{(0)} |\Phi\rangle = |\Phi\rangle = \sum_{n \neq 0} c_n \cancel{|\Phi_n\rangle}$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle \Phi_n | \Phi \rangle}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n} |\Phi_n\rangle$$

$$R^{(0)}|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n|\Phi\rangle}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

$$R^{(0)} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

∴

Examples:

$$|\Psi_0^{(1)}\rangle = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n|W|\Phi\rangle}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi_0^{(2)}\rangle &= \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_m\rangle\langle\Phi_m|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_m} W \\
&\times \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n} W |\Phi_0\rangle \\
&- \langle\Phi_0|W|\Phi_0\rangle \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|}{(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n)^2} \\
&\times W |\Phi_0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We used:

$$[R^{(0)}]^k = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|}{(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n)^k}$$

$$|\psi_0^{(2)}\rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{m,n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_m\rangle\langle\Phi_m|W|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|W|\Phi_0\rangle}{(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_m)(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n)}$$

$$- \langle\Phi_0|W|\Phi_0\rangle \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|W|\Phi_0\rangle}{(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n)^2}$$

$$k_0^{(2)} = \langle\Phi_0|W \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

$$\times W|\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{\langle\Phi_0|W|\Phi_n\rangle\langle\Phi_n|W|\Phi_0\rangle}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

BRACKETING TECHNIQUE (Huby, 1961)

$$|\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle = (R^{(0)}W)^n |\Phi_0\rangle$$

principal term
↙

+ renormalization terms

$$K_0^{(n+1)} = \langle \Phi_0 | W (R^{(0)}W)^n | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

principal term
↙

+ renormalization terms

(n=0,1,...)

$$\langle \Phi | \dots | \Phi \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \dots \rangle$$

$$\langle \Phi | W | \Phi \rangle = \langle W \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi | W R^{(0)} W | \Phi \rangle \\ = \langle W R^{(0)} W \rangle, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

$$k_0^{(1)} = \langle W \rangle,$$

$$k_0^{(2)} = \langle W R^{(0)} W \rangle,$$

$$k_0^{(3)} = \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$$- \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W \rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0^{(4)} = & \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle \\
& - \langle W \rangle \left[\langle W R^{(0)2} W R^{(0)} W \rangle \right. \\
& + \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)2} W \rangle \left. \right] \\
& + \langle W \rangle^2 \langle W R^{(0)3} W \rangle \\
& - \langle W R^{(0)} W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W \rangle, \\
& \text{etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

$$K_0^{(n+1)} = \langle W(R^{(0)}W)^n \rangle + \text{renormalization terms} \\ (n \geq 0)$$

To obtain renormalization terms, we insert pairs of brackets, $\langle \dots \rangle$, such that

- they are non-straddling,
- they cannot touch each other,

- the leftmost and rightmost W cannot be bracketed,
- each bracket pair must have W on each side,

For a given expression with r bracket pairs, we assign a sign factor $(-1)^r$.

Example: $k_0^{(3)}$

Principal term

$$\langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$



Let us try to insert
bracket pairs into the
principal term (without
any reference to Huby's rules)

$$\langle \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$$= \langle W \rangle \langle R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$$\langle \Phi_0 | R^{(0)} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle W \langle R^{(0)} \rangle W R^{(0)} W \rangle \\
 &= \langle R^{(0)} \rangle \langle W^2 R^{(0)} W \rangle \\
 & \quad \langle \Phi | R^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle W R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W \rangle \\
 &= \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

✓

| r = 1 |

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle W R^{(0)} W \langle R^{(0)} \rangle W \rangle \\
 &= \langle R^{(0)} \rangle \langle W R^{(0)} W^2 \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle \rangle \\
 &= \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} \rangle \\
 & \quad \underbrace{R^{(0)} | \Phi \rangle = 0}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_0^{(3)} &= \langle W (R^{(0)} W)^2 \rangle \\
 &= \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Why can't brackets touch?

$$\langle \dots \langle W \dots W \rangle \langle R^{(0)} \dots W \rangle \dots \rangle$$

$\underbrace{\langle \emptyset | R^{(0)} = 0$

$$\langle \dots \langle W \dots R^{(0)} \rangle \langle W \dots W \rangle \dots \rangle$$

$\underbrace{R^{(0)} | \emptyset \rangle = 0$

Why can't we allow bracketing of the leftmost and rightmost W s?

$$\langle \langle W \dots W \rangle R^{(0)} \rangle$$

$$\langle \Phi_0 | R^{(0)} = 0$$

$$\langle \dots R^{(0)} \langle W \dots W \rangle \rangle$$

$$R^{(0)} | \Phi_0 \rangle = 0$$

etc.

Another example: $k_0^{(4)}$

Principal term

$$\langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

Renormalization terms

$$\langle W R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W \rangle \checkmark$$

$r=1, -$

$$\langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W \rangle \checkmark$$

$r=1, -$

$$\langle W R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$r=2, +$

$$\langle W R^{(0)} \langle W R^{(0)} W \rangle R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$r=1, -$

$$k_0^{(4)} = \langle W (R^{(0)} W)^3 \rangle$$

$$- \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W R^{(0)} W \rangle$$

$$- \langle W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)2} W \rangle$$

$$+ \langle W \rangle^2 \langle W R^{(0)3} W \rangle$$

$$- \langle W R^{(0)} W \rangle \langle W R^{(0)2} W \rangle$$

Remember:

You are allowed to place brackets inside other brackets as long as you follow Huby's rules.

What about wave function corrections?

Rules are similar:

- brackets are non-straddling,
- they cannot touch each other,
- the rightmost $\uparrow$ $\downarrow$ cannot be bracketed,
- each bracket pair must have $\uparrow$ $\downarrow$ on each side.

For a given expression
with r bracket pairs,
we assign a sign factor
 $(-1)^r$.

Examples:

$$|\Psi_0^{(2)}\rangle = R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$$- R^{(0)} \langle W \rangle R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$r=1$

$$= (R^{(0)} W)^2 |\Phi\rangle$$

$$- \langle W \rangle R^{(0)2} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$$|\Psi_0^{(3)}\rangle = R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$$- R^{(0)} \cancel{W} R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$r=1$

$$- R^{(0)} W R^{(0)} \cancel{W} R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$r=1$

$$+ R^{(0)} \cancel{W} \cancel{R}^{(0)} \cancel{W} R^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$r=2$

$$- R^{(0)} \cancel{W} R^{(0)} W \cancel{R}^{(0)} W |\Phi\rangle$$

$r=1$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi_0^{(3)}\rangle &= (R^{(0)}W)^3 |\Phi_0\rangle \\
&- \langle W \rangle [R^{(0)2}WR^{(0)}W|\Phi_0\rangle \\
&+ R^{(0)}WR^{(0)2}W|\Phi_0\rangle] \\
&+ \langle W \rangle^2 R^{(0)3}W|\Phi_0\rangle \\
&- \langle WR^{(0)}W \rangle R^{(0)2}W|\Phi_0\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

BACK TO MBPT

$$H_N |\Phi_0\rangle = \Delta E_0 |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$H_N = H - \langle \Phi_0 | H | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$|\Phi_0\rangle \xrightarrow{\text{Fermi vacuum}} |\Psi_0^{(0)}\rangle$$

↑ unperturbed IPM state

$$\Delta E_0 = E_0 - \langle \Phi_0 | H | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$H_N = H - \langle H \rangle$$

$$\Delta E_0 = E_0 - \langle H \rangle$$

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = |\{p_1 \dots p_N\}\rangle$$

$$= |\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle$$

$$= \prod_{r=1}^N X_{p_r}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

We must write H_N as

$$K = H_N = K_0 + W,$$

such that

$$K_0 |\Phi_0\rangle = \epsilon_0 |\Phi_0\rangle$$

perturbation
↙

↑ unperturbed
Hamiltonian

and we can easily determine all eigenstates of K_0 ,

$$K_0 |\Phi_n\rangle = \epsilon_n |\Phi_n\rangle.$$

W will be defined as

$$W = K - K_0,$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta E_0^{(n)} = \Delta E_0.$$

DEFINITIONS OF $|\Phi\rangle$ AND V_0

$$H = Z + V$$

$$Z = \sum_{r,s} \langle r | \hat{N} | s \rangle X_r^\dagger X_s$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rstu} \langle rs | \hat{v} | tu \rangle \\ \times X_r^\dagger X_s^\dagger X_u X_t$$

We will not use Z as
an unperturbed operator.

Instead, we will define

$$H_0 = Z + U,$$

where

$$U = \sum_{r,s} \langle r | \hat{u} | s \rangle X_r^\dagger X_s$$

is a one-body operator
that can be regarded
as an approximation to
 V , $U \approx V$.

In first quantization,
$$U = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{u}(x_i).$$

$$H_0 = \sum_{rs} \langle r | \hat{z} + \hat{u} | s \rangle \\ \times \sum_r \sum_s$$

We will choose our
single-particle states
such that

$$(\hat{z} + \hat{u}) | p \rangle = \varepsilon_p | p \rangle.$$

(in first quantization,

$$[\hat{z}(x) + \hat{u}(x)] \chi_p(x) \\ = \varepsilon_p \chi_p(x)$$

With these choices

$$H_0 = \sum_r \varepsilon_r X_r^\dagger X_r$$

and every determinant

$$|\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle = |\{q_1 \dots q_N\}\rangle \\ = X_{q_1}^\dagger \dots X_{q_N}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

is an eigenstate of H_0 .

This can be demonstrated in two ways (see video 32).

Here, we will use an elementary proof based on first quantization.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_0 | \Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N} \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^N [\hat{z}(x_i) + \hat{u}(x_i)] \\
 &\quad \times \sqrt{N!} \mathcal{A}(\gamma_{q_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma_{q_N}(x_N)) \\
 &= \sqrt{N!} \mathcal{A} \sum_{i=1}^N [\hat{z}(x_i) + \hat{u}(x_i)] \\
 &\quad \times \gamma_{q_1}(x_1) \dots \gamma_{q_i}(x_i) \dots \gamma_{q_N}(x_N)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$[\hat{z}(x_i) + \hat{u}(x_i)] \psi_{q_i}(x_i) = \varepsilon_{q_i} \psi_{q_i}(x_i)$$

$$H_0 |\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle = \sqrt{N!} \mathcal{A} \times \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{q_i} \psi_{q_1}(x_1) \dots \psi_{q_N}(x_N)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_{q_i} \right) |\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle$$

$$H_0 |\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle = E_{q_1 \dots q_N}^{(0)} |\Phi_{q_1 \dots q_N}\rangle$$

$$E_{q_1 \dots q_N}^{(0)} = \sum_{g=1}^N \epsilon_{qg}$$

In particular, for

$$|\Phi_0\rangle = |\Phi_{p_1 \dots p_N}\rangle$$

0th order
IPM state,
reference
determinant

$$H_0 |\Phi_0\rangle = E_{p_1 \dots p_N}^{(0)} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$\equiv E^{(0)} |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$E^{(0)} = \sum_{g=1}^N \epsilon_{p_g}$$

What about excited determinants?

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 |\Phi_{p_0}^{q_0}\rangle &= (\epsilon_{p_1} + \dots + \epsilon_{p_{j-1}} + \\
 &+ \epsilon_{q_0} + \epsilon_{p_{j+1}} + \dots + \epsilon_{p_N}) |\Phi_{p_0}^{q_0}\rangle \\
 &= [(\epsilon_{q_0} - \epsilon_{p_0}) + \epsilon_{p_1} + \dots + \epsilon_{p_{j-1}} + \\
 &+ \epsilon_{p_j} + \epsilon_{p_{j+1}} + \dots + \epsilon_{p_N}] |\Phi_{p_0}^{q_0}\rangle \\
 &= [(\epsilon_{q_0} - \epsilon_{p_0}) + E^{(0)}] |\Phi_{p_0}^{q_0}\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

$i, j, \dots \rightarrow$ occupied
in $|\Phi\rangle$
(hole states)

$a, b, \dots \rightarrow$ unoccupied
in $|\Phi\rangle$
(particle states)

$$H_0 |\Phi_i^a\rangle = [(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_i) + E^0] |\Phi_i^a\rangle$$

Similarly,

$$H_0 |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle = [(\epsilon_a - \epsilon_i + \epsilon_b - \epsilon_j)]$$

$$+ E^{(0)}] |\Phi_{\vec{c}}^{ab}\rangle,$$

$$H_0 |\Phi_{\vec{c}, \dots, \vec{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n}\rangle$$

✓

$$= \left[\sum_{j=1}^n (\epsilon_{a_j} - \epsilon_{\vec{c}_j}) + E^{(0)} \right] \times |\Phi_{\vec{c}, \dots, \vec{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n}\rangle$$

We define

$$\underbrace{E^{(0)} |\Phi\rangle}_{\sim}$$

$$K_0 = H_0 - \langle \Phi | H_0 | \Phi \rangle$$

$$K = H_N = H - \langle \Phi_0 | H | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$K_0 = H_0 - E^{(0)}$$

$$K_0 |\Phi_0\rangle = \epsilon_0 |\Phi_0\rangle$$

$$K_0 |\Phi_i^a\rangle = \epsilon_i^a |\Phi_i^a\rangle$$

...

$$K_0 |\Phi_{\tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}\rangle = \epsilon_{\tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} |\Phi_{\tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}\rangle$$

$$\alpha_0 = \bigcirc$$

$$\alpha_i^a = \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_i$$

...

$$\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} = \sum_{g=1}^n (\varepsilon_{a_g} - \varepsilon_{i_g})$$

$$K_0 = H_0 - \langle \Phi | H_0 | \Phi \rangle$$

$$= Z + U - \langle \Phi_0 | Z + U | \Phi_0 \rangle$$

$$= Z_N + U_N = (Z + U)_N$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0 &= \sum_{r,s} \langle r | \hat{Z} + \hat{u} | s \rangle \\
 &\quad \times N[X_r^\dagger X_s] \\
 &= \sum_r \epsilon_r N[X_r^\dagger X_r]
 \end{aligned}$$

Example of $\hat{u}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{u} &= \hat{g} \\
 \hat{Z} + \hat{u} &= \hat{f} \\
 \hat{f} |P\rangle &= \epsilon_P |P\rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

(MBPT $\rightarrow$ MPPT)

PERTURBATION

$$K = K_0 + W$$

$$W = K - K_0$$

$$K = H_N = H - \langle \Phi | H | \Phi \rangle$$

$$K_0 = H_0 - \langle \Phi | H_0 | \Phi \rangle$$

$$W = H - H_0 - \langle \Phi | H - H_0 | \Phi \rangle$$

$$H = Z + V, H_0 = Z + U$$



$$\begin{aligned}
W &= \cancel{(Z+V)} - \cancel{(Z+U)} \\
&\quad - \langle \Phi_0 | \cancel{(Z+V)} - \cancel{(Z+U)} | \Phi \rangle \\
&= V - U - \langle \Phi_0 | V - U | \Phi \rangle \\
&= V_N + G_N - U_N
\end{aligned}$$

$$W = W_1 + W_2$$

$$W_1 = G_N - U_N \equiv Q_N$$

$$W_2 = V_N$$

$$W_1 = Q_N = \sum_{r,s} \langle r | \hat{q} | s \rangle \\ \times N[X_r^\dagger X_s]$$

$$\hat{q} = \hat{g} - \hat{u}$$

$$\langle r | \hat{q} | s \rangle = \langle r | \hat{g} | s \rangle \\ - \langle r | \hat{u} | s \rangle$$

$$\langle r | \hat{g} | s \rangle = \sum_i \langle r | \hat{u} | s \rangle \langle s | \hat{u} | i \rangle$$

$$W_2 = V_N = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rstu} \langle rs | \hat{v} | tu \rangle \\ \times N[X_r^\dagger X_t^\dagger X_s X_u]$$

$$W_1 = Q_N = G_N - U_N$$

$$= Z_N + G_N - (Z_N + U_N)$$

$$= F_N - (Z + U)_N$$

$$F_N = \sum_{r,s} \langle r | \hat{f} | s \rangle N[X_r^\dagger X_s]$$

$$\hat{f} = \hat{z} + \hat{g}$$

$$W_1 = Q_N = \sum_{r,s} [\langle r | \hat{f} | s \rangle - \langle r | \hat{z} + \hat{u} | s \rangle] N[X_r^\dagger X_s]$$

$$\langle r | \hat{z} + \hat{u} | s \rangle = \varepsilon_r \delta_{rs}$$

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 = Q_N &= \\ &= \sum_{r,s} [\langle r | \hat{f} | s \rangle - \varepsilon_r \delta_{rs}] \\ &\quad \times N[X_r^\dagger X_s] \end{aligned}$$

If $|\Phi_0\rangle$ is a Hartree-Fock determinant,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{u} &= \hat{g}, \quad \hat{z} + \hat{u} = \hat{f} \\ \hat{q} &= \hat{g} - \hat{u} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$W_1 = Q_N = 0 \text{ J}$$

$$W = V_N \text{ J}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= Z_N + U_N \\ &= Z_N + G_N \\ &= F_N, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_N &= K = K_0 + W \\ &= F_N + V_N \end{aligned}$$

(MPPT)

MP $\rightarrow$ Møller-Plesset

(MP2, MP3, MP4, ...)

In general, in MBPT,

$$K_0 = Z_N + U_N \quad \checkmark$$

$$W = W_1 + W_2, \quad \checkmark$$

$$W_1 = Q_N = G_N - U_N \\ (= F_N - (Z + U)_N)$$

$$W_2 = V_N,$$

MBPT(2), MBPT(3),
MBPT(4), ...

REDUCED
RESOLVENT $R^{(0)}$

$$R^{(0)} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n\rangle \langle \Phi_n|}{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_n}$$

$$K_0 |\Phi_0\rangle = 0 \quad (\epsilon_0 = 0)$$

$$K_0 |\Phi_{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \dots \tilde{\gamma}_n}^{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_n}\rangle = \epsilon_{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \dots \tilde{\gamma}_n}^{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_n} |\Phi_{\tilde{\gamma}_1 \dots \tilde{\gamma}_n}^{\epsilon_1 \dots \epsilon_n}\rangle$$

$$\phi_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_n \\ \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}} = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} (\varepsilon_{a_g} \varepsilon_{\tilde{c}_g})$$

$$R^{(0)} = \sum_{n=1}^N R_n^{(0)} \quad \checkmark$$

$$R_n^{(0)} = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{c}_1 < \dots < \tilde{c}_n \\ a_1 < \dots < a_n}} \frac{|\Phi_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_n \\ \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}} \times \cancel{\Phi_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_n \\ \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}}}|}{\underbrace{- \phi_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_n \\ \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n}}}_{\sum_{g \in \Gamma} (\varepsilon_{\tilde{c}_g} - \varepsilon_{a_g})}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{h!} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \neq \dots \neq \tilde{\epsilon}_n \\ a_1 \neq \dots \neq a_n}} \frac{|\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} \times \cancel{\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}}|}{- \cancel{\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}}}$$

We will now allow
EPV terms,

↑
exclusion principle violating

$$P_n^{(0)} = \left(\frac{1}{h!} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\epsilon}_n \\ a_1, \dots, a_n}} \frac{|\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n} \times \cancel{\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}}|}{- \cancel{\Phi_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1 \dots \tilde{\epsilon}_n}^{a_1 \dots a_n}}}$$

$$[R^{(0)}]^k = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{|\Phi_n \times \Phi_n|}{(\mathcal{E}_0 - \mathcal{E}_n)^k}$$

$$[R^{(0)}]^k = \sum_{n=1}^N [R_n^{(0)}]^k \quad \checkmark$$

$$[R_n^{(0)}]^k = \left(\frac{1}{h!} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(1)cc}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n \\ a_{(1)cc}, a_n}} \frac{|\Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(1)cc}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n}^{a_{(1)cc}, a_n} \times \Phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(1)cc}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n}^{a_{(1)cc}, a_n}|}{(-\mathcal{E}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{(1)cc}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_n}^{a_{(1)cc}, a_n})^k}$$

$$k \geq 1$$

$$[R_n^{(0)}]^k = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n \\ a_1, \dots, a_n}} \frac{\langle E_{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n} | \Phi \rangle \langle \Phi | E_{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n} \rangle}{\left(-\phi_{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n} \right)^k}$$

$$\langle E_{\tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_n}^{a_1, \dots, a_n} = \prod_{g=1}^n X_{a_g}^\dagger X_{\tilde{c}_g}$$

$$= \prod_{g=1}^n E_{\tilde{c}_g}^{a_g}$$

$$E_{\tilde{c}}^a = X_a^\dagger X_{\tilde{c}}$$