

# POSTULATES OF QUANTUM MECHANICS

- **Quantum-mechanical states**

- In the coordinate representation, the state of a quantum-mechanical system is described by the wave function  $\psi(q, t) = \psi(q_1, \dots, q_f, t)$  (in Dirac's notation, ket  $|\psi\rangle$  or  $|\psi(t)\rangle$ ;  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom). In the case of a single particle moving along the  $x$  axis, we would write  $\psi = \psi(x, t)$ . In the case of an unconstrained motion of a single particle in three dimensions, described by the radius vector  $\mathbf{r}$  or the three Cartesian coordinates  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ , we would write  $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{r}, t) \equiv \psi(x, y, z, t)$ . In the case of an unconstrained motion of  $N$  particles in three dimensions, described by the radii vectors  $\mathbf{r}_i$  or the Cartesian coordinates  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$ , and  $z_i$ , with  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we would write  $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N, t) \equiv \psi(x_1, y_1, z_1, \dots, x_N, y_N, z_N, t)$ . Other choices of coordinates  $q_1, \dots, q_f$  can be used, particularly when there are constraints ( $f < 3N$ ). We require that the wave function  $\psi(q, t)$  is bounded, continuous, single-valued, and has continuous first derivatives. The wave function  $\psi(q, t)$  (or ket  $|\psi\rangle$ ) contains *all* information that can be determined about the state of a system of interest.
- Bound states are characterized by the condition

$$\|\psi\| = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left( \int_{\Gamma} \psi^*(q, t) \psi(q, t) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$$

( $\Gamma$  is the corresponding configuration space). The physically meaningful wave functions describing bound states should be normalized to unity, so that

$$\|\psi\| = 1.$$

For the normalized wave functions, the expression

$$P(q, t) = |\psi(q, t)|^2$$

has a meaning of the position probability density.

- **Operators representing dynamical variables**

- Each dynamical variable  $F = F(q, p, t)$  [ $q \equiv (q_1, \dots, q_f)$ ,  $p \equiv (p_1, \dots, p_f)$ ] is represented by a linear self-adjoint operator  $\hat{F}$  defined in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma)$  (for our purposes, self-adjoint = Hermitian, but strictly speaking these are not identical terms;  $L^2(\Gamma)$  is a space of square-integrable functions and, strictly speaking, one should define  $\hat{F}$  in a suitable subspace of  $L^2(\Gamma)$  which defines the domain of  $\hat{F}$ ). Normally, we define the operator  $\hat{F}$  as follows,

$$\hat{F} = F(\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_f, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_f, t), \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_f$  and  $\hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_f$  are the operators representing the coordinates and momenta, respectively. If there is a choice between several forms of the operator  $\hat{F}$  that result from Eq. (1), we choose the form that guarantees that the resulting operator  $\hat{F}$  is self-adjoint. In particular, the operator  $\hat{F}$  has to satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi | \hat{F} \psi \rangle &\equiv \int_{\Gamma} \phi^*(q, t) [\hat{F} \psi(q, t)] d\tau \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} [\hat{F} \phi(q, t)]^* \psi(q, t) d\tau \equiv \langle \hat{F} \phi | \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

- In the coordinate representation, the coordinate and momentum operators,  $\hat{q}_l$  and  $\hat{p}_l$ , respectively, ( $l = 1, \dots, f$ ) are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{q}_l \phi(q) &= q_l \phi(q), \\ \hat{p}_l \phi(q) &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial q_l} \phi(q), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\phi(q)$  is a function of coordinates  $q_1, \dots, q_f$ .

- **Interpretation of quantum-mechanical calculations**

- The only possible result of a single precise measurement of the dynamical variable  $F$  is a real number  $\lambda_\mu$  that belongs to a spectrum of the corresponding operator  $\hat{F}$ . The spectrum of self-adjoint operator  $\hat{F}$  can be discrete or continuous and, in general, is a subset of real numbers. We can write the equation

$$\hat{F} |f_\mu\rangle = \lambda_\mu |f_\mu\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where ket  $|f_\mu\rangle$  corresponds to function  $f_\mu(q)$ , which is associated with  $\lambda_\mu$  from the spectrum of  $\hat{F}$ . Each  $\lambda_\mu$  is interpreted as a value of  $F$  in the

quantum state described by  $|f_\mu\rangle$ . In the case of the discrete part of the spectrum of  $\hat{F}$ , describing bound states (which corresponds to the values of  $\lambda_\mu$  belonging to a point spectrum of  $\hat{F}$ ), Eq. (2) describes the well-known eigenvalue problem for the self-adjoint operator  $\hat{F}$ . The resulting  $\lambda_\mu$  values are the eigenvalues of  $\hat{F}$  and  $|f_\mu\rangle$  are the corresponding eigenstates, which can be made orthonormal, so that  $\langle f_\mu|f_\nu\rangle = \delta_{\mu\nu}$  ( $\delta_{\mu\nu}$  is the Kronecker delta). In the continuous (scattering) case, the resulting  $\lambda_\mu$  values belong to a continuous part of the spectrum of  $\hat{F}$  and we can view  $\lambda_\mu$  as a continuous function of the label  $\mu$ . Although the corresponding states  $|f_\mu\rangle$  no longer belong to the  $L^2(\Gamma)$  space, they can be normalized using the Dirac delta function, so that  $\langle f_\mu|f_\nu\rangle = \delta(\mu - \nu)$  ( $\delta(\mu - \nu)$  is the Dirac delta function).

- The probability that in the quantum state described by  $|\psi\rangle$  the dynamical variable  $F$  (observable) equals to one of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_\mu$  [discrete case; we designate this probability by  $P(F = \lambda_\mu)$ ] or the probability that the value of the observable  $F$  belongs to an interval  $[\lambda_\mu, \lambda_\mu + d\lambda_\mu]$  (continuous case; we designate this probability by  $P(F \in [\lambda_\mu, \lambda_\mu + d\lambda_\mu])$ ), is proportional to  $|c_\mu|^2$ , where

$$c_\mu = \langle f_\mu|\psi\rangle \equiv \int_\Gamma f_\mu^*(q) \psi(q, t) d\tau.$$

are the coefficients defining the expansion

$$|\psi\rangle = \mathcal{S}_\mu c_\mu |f_\mu\rangle$$

( $\mathcal{S}_\mu = \sum_\mu$  in the discrete case and  $\mathcal{S}_\mu = \int d\mu$  in the continuous case). For the normalized states  $|\psi\rangle$  ( $\|\psi\| = 1$ ), we have

$$P(F = \lambda_\mu) = |c_\mu|^2$$

in the discrete case, and

$$P(F \in [\lambda_\mu, \lambda_\mu + d\lambda_\mu]) = |c_\mu|^2 d\mu$$

in the continuous case.

- The expectation (mean) value of the observable  $F$  in the quantum state described by the normalized ket  $|\psi\rangle$ , which is defined as

$$\langle \hat{F} \rangle = \sum_\mu \lambda_\mu P(F = \lambda_\mu) = \sum_\mu \lambda_\mu |c_\mu|^2$$

in the discrete case, and as

$$\langle \hat{F} \rangle = \int \lambda_\mu P(F \in [\lambda_\mu, \lambda_\mu + d\lambda_\mu]) = \int \lambda_\mu |c_\mu|^2 d\mu$$

in the continuous case, is calculated using the formula

$$\langle \hat{F} \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{F} | \psi \rangle = \int_{\Gamma} \psi^*(q, t) \hat{F} \psi(q, t) d\tau.$$

If the wave function  $\psi$  is not normalized to unity, we use

$$\langle \hat{F} \rangle = \frac{\langle \psi | \hat{F} \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} \equiv \frac{\int_{\Gamma} \psi^*(q, t) \hat{F} \psi(q, t) d\tau}{\int_{\Gamma} \psi^*(q, t) \psi(q, t) d\tau}.$$

## • Time evolution of quantum-mechanical systems

- The time evolution of quantum-mechanical systems is described by the equation of motion called (in the Schrödinger picture) the time-dependent Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(q, t) = \hat{H} \psi(q, t),$$

where

$$\hat{H} = H(\hat{q}_1, \dots, \hat{q}_f, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_f, t)$$

is an operator representing the Hamiltonian of the system (a quantum-mechanical operator representing the Hamilton function). Alternatively, we can write,

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi(t)\rangle.$$

- When  $\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = 0$ , the most general solution of the Schrödinger equation can be given the form

$$\psi(q', t') = i \int_{\Gamma} G(q', t'; q, t) \psi(q, t) d\tau,$$

where the spectral representation of Green's function  $G(q', t'; q, t)$  is

$$G(q', t'; q, t) = -i \mathcal{S}_\mu u_\mu(q') u_\mu^*(q) e^{-iE_\mu(t'-t)/\hbar},$$

where  $u_\mu(q)$  and  $E_\mu$  are, respectively, the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (including solutions corresponding to a continuous

part of the spectrum of  $\hat{H}$ , if there is any). Alternatively, the wave function  $\psi(q, t)$  at coordinates  $q$  and time  $t$  can be given the form

$$\psi(q, t) = \mathcal{S}_\mu c_\mu(t) u_\mu(q),$$

where the time-dependent coefficients  $c_\mu(t)$  can be calculated as follows:

$$c_\mu(t) = e^{-iE_\mu(t-t_0)/\hbar} c_\mu(t_0),$$

with

$$c_\mu(t_0) = \int_\Gamma u_\mu^*(q) \psi(q, t_0) d\tau$$

determined from the information about the initial form of the wave function  $\psi(q, t_0)$  for all values of the coordinates  $q_1, \dots, q_f$  at some fixed time  $t_0$ . In particular, if the initial state at  $t_0$  is defined as

$$\psi(q, t_0) = u_m(q)$$

(at time  $t = t_0$ , the wave function  $\psi$  is one of the normalized eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian corresponding to a discrete state  $|u_m\rangle$ ), we can write  $c_m(t_0) = 1$  and  $c_\mu(t_0) = 0$  for  $\mu \neq m$ , so that

$$\psi(q, t) = e^{-iE_m(t-t_0)/\hbar} u_m(q). \quad (3)$$

The solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation described by Eq. (3) are sometimes referred to as the stationary solutions, since in this case the probability density,

$$P(q, t) = |\psi(q, t)|^2 = \psi^*(q, t) \psi(q, t) = u_m^*(q) u_m(q) = |u_m(q)|^2,$$

does not depend on time. The eigenvalue or eigenvalue-like equation for the Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}u_\mu(q) = E_\mu u_\mu(q),$$

which is used to determine  $u_\mu(q)$  and  $E_\mu$  in the discrete case and  $u_\mu(q)$  in the continuous (i.e. scattering) case is often referred to as the time-independent Schrödinger equation.