

## The Hartree-Fock Equations

Our goal is to construct the best single determinant wave function for a system of  $N$  electrons. We write our trial function as a determinant of spin orbitals

$$\psi(1, 2, \dots, N) = \mathcal{A} \varphi_1(1) \varphi_2(2) \cdots \varphi_N(N),$$

where  $\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is the antisymmetrizing operator,

and we want to determine the orbitals  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , so that the energy  $E$

$$E = \langle \psi(1, 2, \dots, N) | \hat{H} | \psi(1, 2, \dots, N) \rangle$$

is a minimum. Recall that the Hamiltonian is the sum of one and two body operators

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{f}(i) + \sum_{i < j}^N g(i, j)$$

Using the Slater-Condon rules the energy can be written in terms of integrals over the spin orbitals,

$$E = \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{i > j}^N \langle \varphi_i(1) \varphi_j(2) | g(1, 2) (1 - \hat{P}_{12}) | \varphi_i(1) \varphi_j(2) \rangle$$

where  $\hat{P}_{12}$  is a transposition operator that interchanges the coordinates 1 and 2. We want to find those orbitals for which the energy is stationary to first order. This means that if the orbitals we seek are  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , and we incremented each by an infinitesimal amount  $\{\varphi_i + \delta\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , and recalculated the energy it should not change.

Accordingly if we increment or vary each of the  $\varphi_i$  independently, we would write

$$\begin{aligned} E(\varphi_1 + \delta\varphi_1, \varphi_2 + \delta\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N + \delta\varphi_N) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i + \delta\varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i + \delta\varphi_i \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i > j}^N \langle (\varphi_i + \delta\varphi_i)(\varphi_j + \delta\varphi_j) | g(1, 2) (1 - \hat{P}_{12}) | (\varphi_i + \delta\varphi_i)(\varphi_j + \delta\varphi_j) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The one-electron contribution becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \right\}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \right\} + 0(\delta^2).$$

In a similar way, we may expand the two-electron terms

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i>j}^N & \left\{ \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle \right. \\ & \left. + \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \delta \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle \right\} + 0(\delta^2), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{G}(1,2) = g(1,2)(1 - \hat{P}_{12})$ .

We may write the restricted sum  $\sum_{i>j}^N$  as the less restricted sum  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N$ ,

and so  $\sum_{i>j}^N \langle \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N{}' \langle \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle$ ,

where the prime on the sum means that  $i \neq j$ .

Since  $i$  and  $j$  are dummy indices, this term may be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N{}' \langle \varphi_j \delta \varphi_i | \hat{G} | \varphi_j \varphi_i \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N{}' \langle \delta \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle$$

and, of course,

$$\sum_{i>j}^N{}' \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \delta \varphi_j \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^N{}' \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \delta \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle.$$

So, if we form the difference

$$\delta E = E(\varphi_1 + \delta \varphi_1, \varphi_2 + \delta \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N + \delta \varphi_N) - E(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_N)$$

we have the first order change in energy

$$\begin{aligned}\delta E &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \varphi_i \varphi_j | \hat{G} | \delta \varphi_i \varphi_j \rangle \right\} \\ \delta E &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i(1) | \hat{f} + \sum_{j=1}^N \int \varphi_j^*(2) \hat{G}(1,2) \varphi_j(2) d\tau(2) | \varphi_i(1) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle \varphi_i(1) | \hat{f} + \sum_{j=1}^N \int \varphi_j^*(2) \hat{G}(1,2) \varphi_j(2) d\tau(2) | \delta \varphi_i(1) \rangle \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that we may extend the summation  $\sum_{j=1}^N$  to  $\sum_{j=1}^N$  because the term  $i=j$  vanishes identically. For compactness, define the operator

$$\hat{V}(1) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int d\tau(2) \varphi_j^*(2) g(1,2) (1 - \hat{P}_{12}) \varphi_j(2)$$

and  $\therefore$

$$\delta E = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{f} + \hat{V} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} + \hat{V} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \right\}.$$

$\hat{V}$  is called the Hartree-Fock Potential. We further define the Fock operator as  $\hat{F} = \hat{f} + \hat{V}$  and write  $\delta E$  as

$$\delta E = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{F} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \varphi_i | \hat{F} | \delta \varphi_i \rangle \right\}.$$

We must not vary  $\delta \varphi_i$  independently of  $\delta \varphi_j$ , since they are coupled by the constraints,

$$\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

To comply with these constraints, we use the technique of Lagrangian multipliers.

Recall, to vary the functional  $F(\varphi(x))$  with respect to  $\varphi(x)$  subject to  $G(\varphi(x)) = 0$ , we first form the independent variations of  $F$  and  $G$ , i. e.,  $\delta F$  and  $\delta G$ , and then, add a multiple of the variation of the constraint to the variation of the functional to form  $\delta F + \lambda \delta G$ .

One then chooses  $\varphi(x)$  such that this expression is zero, i. e., solve

$$\delta F + \lambda \delta G = 0.$$

We then obtain  $\varphi(x, \lambda)$  and fix  $\lambda$  by requiring

$$G(\varphi(x, \lambda)) = 0.$$

$\lambda$  is called a Lagrangian multiplier.

In this problem we have  $N^2$  constraints of the form  $\langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  and each has the variation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle &= \langle \varphi_i + \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j + \delta \varphi_j \rangle - \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi_i | \delta \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle + 0(\delta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the Lagrangian multipliers  $\lambda_{ij}$  and subtract all the constraint variations to  $\delta E$ , resulting in

$$\begin{aligned} \delta E - \sum_{i,j}^N \left\{ \lambda_{ij} \left( \langle \varphi_i | \delta \varphi_j \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle \right) \right\} \\ = \delta E - \sum_{i,j}^N \left( \lambda_{ji} \langle \varphi_j | \delta \varphi_i \rangle + \lambda_{ij} \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the fact the Fock operator is Hermetian we can write  $\delta E$  as

$$\delta E = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{F} | \varphi_i \rangle + \langle \delta \varphi_i | \hat{F} | \varphi_i \rangle^* \right\}.$$

We may also rearrange the constraint variations as

$$\sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} \delta \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \left\{ \lambda_{ij} \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle + \left( \lambda_{ji}^* \langle \delta \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle \right)^* \right\}.$$

allowing us to write

$$\delta E + \sum_{i,j} \lambda_{ij} \delta \langle \varphi_i | \varphi_j \rangle =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int \delta \varphi_i^* (1) \left\{ \hat{F}(1) \varphi_i(1) - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij} \varphi_j(1) \right\} d\tau(1) \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \int \delta \varphi_i^* (1) \left\{ \hat{F}(1) \varphi_i(1) - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ji}^* \varphi_j(1) \right\} \right)^* d\tau(1)$$

If we require that this be zero, we recover the equations

$$\hat{F} \varphi_i - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij} \varphi_j = 0 \text{ and } \hat{F} \varphi_i - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ji}^* \varphi_j = 0,$$

from which we deduce  $\lambda_{ij} = \lambda_{ji}^*$ ; i. e.,  $\lambda_{ij}$  is an element of an Hermetian matrix. Since the two sets of equations are equivalent, we consider only one, i. e.,

$$\hat{F} \varphi_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_{ij} \varphi_j$$

Now, define a row vector  $\bar{\varphi} = (\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_N)$ , and note that we may write the above set of equations in a very compact matrix notation,

$$\hat{F} \bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} \lambda,$$

where  $\hat{F} \bar{\varphi} \equiv (\hat{F} \varphi_1 \hat{F} \varphi_2 \cdots \hat{F} \varphi_N)$

and  $\lambda$  is the Hermetian matrix with elements  $\lambda_{ij}$ .

To continue, we make use of the invariance of a Slater determinant to a unitary transformation of the constituent spin orbitals; i. e., since the normalized Slater determinant

$$\Psi(1, 2, \cdots N) = \mathcal{A} \varphi_1(1) \varphi_2(2) \cdots \varphi_N(N)$$

has associated with it a matrix,  $\varphi$ , i. e.,

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(1) & \varphi_1(2) & \cdots & \varphi_1(N) \\ \varphi_2(1) & \varphi_2(2) & \cdots & \varphi_2(N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_N(1) & \varphi_N(2) & \cdots & \varphi_N(N) \end{pmatrix}$$

then,

$$\Psi(1,2,\dots N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|$$

where  $\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\|$  is the determinant of  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ .

Define a new set of functions  $\xi_i$ , by the unitary transformation

$$\xi_i(k) = \sum_{j=1}^N U_{ij} \varphi_j(k) \quad i = 1, \dots, N; \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

or in matrix notation

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{\xi}$$

Note that if we choose not to consider the electron index as a label we may write the transformation as

$$\xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^N U_{ij} \varphi_j = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j U_{ji}^T \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

and the row vectors  $\vec{\xi}$  and  $\vec{\varphi}$  are related by

$$\vec{\xi} = \vec{\varphi} \boldsymbol{U}^T$$

or

$$\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\xi} \boldsymbol{U}$$

The wavefunction in terms of the transformed orbitals becomes

$$\Psi(1,2,\dots N) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \|\boldsymbol{U}^T \boldsymbol{\xi}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \|\boldsymbol{U}^T\| \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|$$

and so, the determinant formed from a unitary transformation of the  $\{\varphi\}$  functions is equal to the original determinant. Given this invariance, how are the Hartree-Fock Equations in the  $\varphi$  basis related to those in the  $\xi$  basis? To find out we substitute  $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\xi} U$  into the Hartree-Fock equations.

Since

$$\hat{F}(\vec{\varphi})\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\varphi}\lambda$$

we have

$$\hat{F}(\vec{\xi}U)\vec{\xi}U = \vec{\xi}U\lambda$$

and so

$$\hat{F}(\vec{\xi}U)\vec{\xi} = \vec{\xi}U\lambda U^T$$

Now,  $U$  is an arbitrary unitary matrix and  $\lambda$  is Hermetian. Since a Hermetian matrix may always be diagonalized by a unitary matrix we have

$$U^T \lambda U = \epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon$  is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues of  $\lambda$ . Then,

$$\hat{F}(\vec{\xi}U)\vec{\xi} = \vec{\xi}\epsilon$$

To find  $\hat{F}(\vec{\xi}U)$ , we note:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{F}(\vec{\varphi}) &= \hat{f}(1) + \sum_{j=1}^N \int \varphi_j^*(2) \hat{G}(1,2) \varphi_j(2) d\tau(2) \\ &= \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace} \left\langle \vec{\varphi}^T(2) \middle| \hat{G}(1,2) \middle| \vec{\varphi}(2) \right\rangle_2 \\ &= \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace } \mathbf{G}_\varphi(1).\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{F}(\xi U) &= \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace} \left\langle (\xi U)^T \middle| \hat{G} \middle| \xi U \right\rangle \\
&= \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace} \left\{ U^T \left\langle \vec{\xi}^T \middle| \hat{G} \middle| \vec{\xi} \right\rangle U \right\} \\
&= \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace} \left\{ U^T \mathbf{G}_{\xi} U \right\} = \hat{f}(1) + \text{trace} \left\{ \mathbf{G}_{\xi} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

and so  $\hat{F}(\vec{\xi} U) = \hat{F}(\vec{\xi})$

or  $\hat{F} \xi_i = \varepsilon_i \xi_i$ .

These are the canonical Hartree-Fock Equations. The  $\xi_i$  are the molecular (atomic) orbitals and the  $\varepsilon_i$  are called the Hartree-Fock eigenvalues or the one-electron eigenvalues.

### Total Energy

Once one has the HF orbitals, one may calculate the total energy, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= \langle \Psi(1, 2, \dots, N) | \hat{H} | \Psi(1, 2, \dots, N) \rangle \\
&= \langle \mathcal{A} \varphi_1(1) \varphi_2(2) \dots \varphi_N(N) | \hat{H} | \mathcal{A} \varphi_1(1) \varphi_2(2) \dots \varphi_N(N) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} | \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{i>j}^N \langle \varphi_i(1) \varphi_j(2) | \hat{G}(1, 2) | \varphi_i(1) \varphi_j(2) \rangle + \text{nuclear repulsion}
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the Hartree-Fock potential  $\hat{V}(1)$  is given by

$$\hat{V}(1) = \sum_{j=1}^N \int d\tau(2) \varphi_j^*(2) \hat{G}(1, 2) \varphi_j(2),$$

and so,

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{V} | \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{K<L}^{\text{nuclei}} Z_K Z_L / R_{KL},$$

since  $\hat{F} = \hat{f} + \hat{V}$  at least two choices present themselves:



$$i) \quad \hat{f} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{V} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{f} + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{f} + \hat{V}) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{f} + \hat{F}),$$

The energy may be written in terms of the Hartree-Fock eigenvalues ( the orbital energies) the one electron matrix elements  $f_i$ , and the nuclear repulsion energy.

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{f} + \hat{F} | \varphi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\varepsilon_i + f_i) + \sum_{K < L}^{Nuclei} Z_K Z_L / R_{KL}$$

ii) Alternatively we may write the energy in terms of the Hartree-Fock eigenvalues, the two electron matrix elements (coulomb and exchange integrals) and the nuclear repulsion energy.

$$\hat{f} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{V} = (\hat{f} + \hat{V}) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{V} = \hat{F} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{V},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{F} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{V} | \varphi_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle \varphi_i | \hat{V} | \varphi_i \rangle + \sum_{K < L}^{Nuclei} Z_K Z_L / R_{KL} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \int \varphi_i^*(1) \varphi_j^*(2) \hat{G}(1,2) \varphi_i(1) \varphi_j(2) d\tau(1,2) + \sum_{K < L}^{Nuclei} Z_K Z_L / R_{KL} \end{aligned}$$